Express Mail No.: EV 417055477 US
'ATENT COOPERATION TREAT.

RECEIVED

INTERNATIONAL	CEADCUING A	TITUODITY	V					
	SEARCHING A	UIHORII	DOCKETE		· DOT	DEC 08 2004		
To: RICHARD D. CO	ONARD	FOR	COMMEN	118 1000	PCT.			
BARNES & THO	RNBURG	BY			α¥	RNES & THORNBURG		
11 SOUTH MER INDIANAPOLIS			121		ITTEN OPINION			
INDIANAFOLIS	, 111 40204	DATE		INTERNATI	ONAL SEARCHIN	√G AUTHORITY		
			BY		(PCT Rule 43bis.	1)		
		DATE_			(PC1 Rule 4301s.	.1)		
-				Date of mailing	0.9.0	VEC 2004		
				(day/month/year) 03 DEC 2004 FOR FURTHER ACTION				
Applicant's or agent's file reference				See paragraph 2 below				
7175-74887								
International application No.			International filing date (day/month/year,		Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US04/10808			08 April 2004 (08.04.2004)		11 April 2003 (11.04.2003)			
International Pate	nt Classification (IPC) or both	national classifica	tion and IPC				
IPC(7): A61H 23/04, 1/00, 5/00 and US Cl.: 601/152								
Applicant								
HILL-ROM SER	VICES, INC.			<u>-</u>				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:								
Box No. I Basis of the opinion								
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
		Lack of unity of invention						
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Box 1	Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
Box 1	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
Box 1	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
2. FURTHER								
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.								
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.								
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Authorized officer // ///								
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US			Authorized officer Shaim W. Triere for					
Commissioner for Patents				Danton DeMille				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450				Telephone No. (571) 272-3700				
Facsimile No. (70	_			1010phono 110. (5/1) 5/2 5/00				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/10808 Box No. I Basis of this opinion 1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). 2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: type of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing format of material in written format in computer readable form time of filing/furnishing contained in international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. 4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/10808

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

applicability; citations and expl	anauons suppor u	ng such statement	
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-10	04	YES
	Claims NO	ONE	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NO	ONE	YES
	Claims 1-1	04	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-10	04	, YES
——————————————————————————————————————	Claims NO		NONO
			

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-104 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Bock et al. in view of Vrzalik et al.

Bock teaches a compression module removably attached to a patient support apparatus using a bracket 38 to connect to the siderail or from the footboard. It would be obvious to be able to attach the compression module to any desired loction on the bed. Vrzalik teaches that compression modules can be removeably attached to the patient support system within a recess in the footboard of the bed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bock to mount the compression module within a recess in the bed as taught by Vrzalik as a way to more securely fasten the compression module to the bed. There is no unobviousness to where the location of the recess is located. In the foot of the bed or in the siderail or head of the bed would have been obvious equivalent locations for the compression module.